Of perhaps greatest interest is the fact that the distribution of products varies significantly when different counterions are present (see Table I). It can be seen

Table I. Relative Yields of Major Products from the Reaction of 0.08 M 5 -Methyl-5-phenyl-1,3-cyclohexadiene (1) with 0.8 M Alkali Metal Amide in Liquid Ammonia at $25^{\circ}$ for 49 Hr

| Products ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -_-Relative yield, $\%^{a}$-_...- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{LiNH}_{2}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{NaNH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{KNH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CsNH}_{2}$ |
| Toluene ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 53 | 62 | 60 | 60 |
| Biphenyl | 18 | 26 | 20 | 7 |
| 3 | 24 | 6 | 6 | 3 |
| 6+7 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 30 |

${ }^{a}$ Average of at least two runs; generally reproducible to $\pm 10 \%$ of the listed value. All values are corrected for glpc molar response factors. ${ }^{b}$ All products are stable under the reaction conditions. - Largely heterogeneous but not affected by a 40 -fold dilution ${ }^{d}$ There was normally $5-35 \%$ less benzene than toluene. ${ }^{e}$ Approximate value.
that the relative yields of 3 , biphenyl, and $6+7$ are greatest with lithium, sodium, and cesium amides, respectively. These results cannot be correlated by a straightforward application of the principle of hard and soft acids and bases ${ }^{17}$ (HSAB) since the "hardest" cation (lithium) ${ }^{18}$ appears to be paired with the "softest" activated complex (elimination of hydride). ${ }^{17,19}$ However, a correlation can be made if one considers the solvation of the counterions in the activated complexes. By this analysis elimination of "hard" methide and the somewhat "softer" cyclopropyl ring cleavage proceed (relatively) fastest when present as contact ion pairs (or higher aggregates) involving sodium and cesium, respectively, whereas elimination of "soft" hydride is fastest with "soft" solvated lithium. ${ }^{20}$

This interpretation was tested and given strong support by the observation that when 0.08 M 1 is caused to react with 0.8 M potassium amide in the presence of $0.8 M$ dicyclohexyl-18-crown-6, a cyclic polyether capable of complexing with potassium ions, ${ }^{21.22}$ the relative yield of 3 increases to $22 \%$, similar to that for lithium amide. ${ }^{23}$ Although the reasons for HSAB correlations are not entirely clear, ${ }^{15.16 a}$ this analysis nevertheless does provide evidence for the nature of the ion pairing in the various activated complexes. ${ }^{24}$

Acknowledgment. Support of this research by the National Science Foundation and the Advanced Research Projects Agency is gratefully acknowledged.
(17) R. G. Pearson and J. Songstad, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89, 1827 (1967).
(18) (a) R. G. Pearson, ibid., 85, 3533 (1963); (b) W. S. Murphy and C. R. Hauser, Chem. Ind. (London), 832 (1969).
(19) H. Gilman, A. L. Jacoby, and H. Ludeman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 60, 2336 (1938).
(20) (a) T. E. Hogen Esch and J. Smid, ibid., 87, 669 (1965); 88, 307, 318 (1966); (b) J. B. Grutzner, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Melbourne, 1967.
(21) C. J. Pedersen, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89, 2495, 7017 (1967).
(22) We thank Drs. H. E. Simmons and D. J. Sam of E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Co. for providing a sample of this compound.
(23) The other relative yields were $59 \%$ toluene, $9 \%$ biphenyl, and $10 \% 6+7$; in this case the yield of benzene exceeded that of toluene.
(24) Each of these processes can, in principle, occur via both contact and solvent-separated ion-pair activated complexes; this is perhaps most probable in the formation of benzene and toluene and the cyclopentadienes.

Stuart W. Staley, John P. Erdman
Department of Chemistry, University of Maryland College Park, Maryland 20742

Received January 29, 1970

Application of Electron Spin Resonance Spectroscopy to Studies of Valence Isomerization. II. Bicyclo[4.1.0]heptene-2,5-semidiones ${ }^{1}$

Sir:
Valence isomerizations of the enolate anions derived from eurcarvone (1) or 2,3-benzocyclohepta-2,4-dienone (3) are known. ${ }^{2,3}$ Treatment of $\mathbf{1}$ with base followed

by a trace of oxygen yields a semidione that is also produced by treatment of 2 with potassium $t$-butoxide in DMSO solution. The semidione could have structure 5 or 6 . In a similar fashion treatment of 3 or 4 with base followed by a trace of oxygen yields a semidione that can have either structures 7 or 8.


5

$$
a \mathrm{H}=3.15,3.45,4.68 ;
$$

$\alpha_{\mathrm{CH}_{5}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}=0.82,4.95 \mathrm{G}$


7
$a^{H}=0.10,0.10,0.86,1.64,2.38$, $2.38,3.20,3.20 \mathrm{G}$

Structures 6 and 8 for the semidiones are instinctively preferred because the parent diones must have these bi- and tricyclic structures. The magnetic nonequivalence of the methylene hydrogen atoms in 7 and 8 , or of the gem-dimethyl groups in 5 and $\mathbf{6}$, is more consistent with structures 6 and 8 than 5 and 7. Final proof of structure is furnished by semidione 9 prepared by treatment of the bicyclic dione ${ }^{4}$ with base in DMSO.


9


10
$a^{\mathrm{H}}=1.95,0.87 \mathrm{G}$
$a_{\mathrm{CH}_{3}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}=5.20,5.20,0.25,0.25 \mathrm{G}$
$m=$ nodal plane in $\psi_{4}$

[^0]Structure $\mathbf{1 0}$ would require that the values of $a_{\mathrm{CH}_{2}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ and $a^{*} \mathrm{CH}_{3}^{\mathrm{H}}$ be roughly equivalent since hyperconjugation of the methylene and starred methyl hydrogen atoms would be nearly equal. Such is obviously not the case and structure 9 is demanded. By analogy, 6 and 8 are preferred to 5 and 7. The large methyl splittings in 6 and 9 must be associated with the methyl groups at C-3.5

Semidiones 6,8 , and 9 possess the interesting property that the nodal plane in the HOMO bisects the cyclopropane ring. The opposite signs of the coefficients at C-2 and C-5 in $\psi_{4}$ prevents the delocalization of the unpaired spin into the cyclopropyl methlyene position since such an interaction takes the form ${ }^{8} a^{\mathrm{H}}=$ $Q\left(c_{2}+c_{j}\right)^{2}$. A spin polarization mechanism is required to introduce the spin from the $\pi$ system into the $\sigma$ framework. Such a mechanism, as pictured in structure 11 , would allow $a^{\mathrm{H}}=Q\left(c_{2}{ }^{2}+c_{5}{ }^{2}\right)$.


The low stereoselectivity observed for the methylene hydrogen splitting in $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ is consistent with further delocalization of the unpaired electron via hyperconjugation structures 11a and 11b. Extended Hückel calculations indicate that the magnitude of interaction 11b should be about twice of that of structure 11a. ${ }^{9}$

The present results define the magnitude of longrange interactions involving spin polarization as well as the low stereoselectivity of $2 V$ spin transmittal by this mechanism. This spin polarization mechanism, also involved in the splittings shown for $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3},{ }^{10,11}$ does not appear to contribute significantly to longrange splittings of the magnitude and stereoselectivity


12


13
However, not all long-

[^1]


14


16
range interactions initiated by spin polarization between $\pi$ and $\sigma$ electrons have a low stereoselectivity. The $2.5 V$ interactions observed for the anti-methyl group of 6, or the anti hydrogen of $16,{ }^{14}$ appear to be as highly stereospecific as the $2 V$ splittings observed for $\mathbf{1 4}$ or 15.

The present results also exclude a homoaromatic, ring-opened structure for the semidione derived from bicyclo[4.1.0]heptene-2,5-dione. The homoaromatic structure is also excluded for cycloheptatriene radical anion. ${ }^{15}$ In the latter case, the orbital symmetry of $\psi_{4}$ prevents bonding between the terminal carbon atoms of the $\pi$ system. ${ }^{16}$ However, such a restriction does not apply to the semidione, e.g., $\psi_{5}$ for 10 . It might be argued that in 10 the carbonyl groups are cross-conjugated with the $\pi$ system, thereby favoring the bicyclic structure. That such ring opening with delocalization can occur in a bicyclo[4.1.0]heptene2,5 -semidione is demonstrated in the conversion of 17 to 18 . Treatment of the tricyclic diketone ${ }^{17}$ with a

mild reducing agent, such as the enolate anion of propiophenone, yields the bicyclic semiquinone 18 wherein the unpaired electron has been extensively delocalized into the entire $\pi$ system: $a_{\mathrm{CH}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}=2.85$, $2.85,0.78,0.78,0.25$, and $0.25 \mathrm{G} ; a_{\mathrm{CH}_{2}} \mathrm{H}=0.78$ and 0.25 G .
(14) S. Nelsen and B. M. Trost, Tetrahedron Lett., 5737 (1966).
(15) D. H. Levy and R. J. Myers, J. Chem. Phys., 43, 3063 (1965).
(16) The homoaromatic structure has been claimed for the nonplanar cyclononatetraene radical anion (R. Rieke, M. A. Ogliaruso, R. McClung, and S. Winstein, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 88, 4729 (1966)) where the orbital symmetry of $\psi_{5}$ would allow bonding between the terminal carbon atoms of the $\pi$ system.
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